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1. Introduction

Initial discussion on displacement and force based sub-modeling techniques was presented in the conference Mechanika 2014 [1]. This paper extends the conference discussion adding case study of the nested sub-modeling approach for the maximum stress analysis in the weld toe of the surge vessel. Sub-modeling here assumes a finite element modeling technique employing two or more models of different geometry. The first model consists of a relatively coarse meshed geometry with possible significant errors of calculated results in some small regions. The second model (sub-model) contains a much close approximation of these regions; e.g., stress concentration region, but excludes the major part of the first model where results calculated by a coarse meshed model had acceptable accuracy. Visually it could be presented as a relatively small refined part (sub-model) cut out from the global model. The boundary conditions of the cut-out edges or surfaces are based on the results calculated by the global model.

The cut boundary conditions of the sub-model often are determined by an interpolation of the calculated nodal displacements of the global model to the cut boundary nodes of the sub-model. In this case, the cut boundary displacements are valid only if the refinement of the sub-model does not change the stiffness of the sub-model region significantly comparing with the stiffness of the same region of the initial global model. It is a major drawback of this approach.

The mentioned drawback can be overcome using the force based cut boundary conditions of the sub-model, although purely force based boundary conditions will not restrict rigid body motion and additional displacement restraints should be applied. Therefore, the sub-model with the force based cut boundary conditions cannot be used for calculation of global displacements.

The sub-modeling approach is being used for decades in local stress analysis of large complex structures [2], contact zones [3], stress concentration zones [4] and crack tip zones [4, 5]. Increasing power of the computational devices have not eliminated the sub-modeling approach from the engineering applications but replaced the initial relatively simple tasks of model reduction with the new challenging problems. In recent years, M. Perić et al. [6, 7] used sub-models for structural and thermal analysis of large welded panels. Sub-modeling technique was applied for structural integrity analysis of nuclear reactor pressure vessel [8, 9]. This method allowed simplified 3D modeling of cracks at different locations and with arbitrary orientation. Sung et al. [10, 11] modeled crack initiation and fracture mechanics of pressure tube specimens; also curved compact tension specimens machined from irradiated pressured tubes employing sub-models to simulate fracture behavior ahead of the front of a crack.

Sub-modeling technique was used to refine the mesh required by the fracture analysis in the region of interest of postulated cracks [12] in modelling of reactor pressure vessel subjected to pressurized thermal shock. Brittle fracture assessment of field welded tanks for storage of production liquids was performed by modeling the cleanout junction as a three-dimensional sub-model, using solid elements, driven by a three-dimensional model of the entire tanks using shell elements [13].

Sub-models of the zones of stress concentration were included in shape optimization procedure [14] of the beater wheel. The riveted metallic bridge fatigue assessment [15] was performed by application of sub-modeling of the joint of interest by solid elements with boundary conditions transferred from the global beam type model.

J. Pihlamar et al. presented a sub-modelic technique in sheet metal forming simulation [16]. Application of the sub-modeling techniques for wear predictions is discussed in [17]. Analysis of the contact pressure and wear of hard bearing couples in hip prosthesis employing sub-modeling is recently published by S. Shankar et al. [18].

Contemporary sub-modeling procedures are supported not only by “classical” finite element codes (ABAQUS, ANSYS Mechanical APDL, NASTRAN etc.) but also appears in an integrated CAD/CAE software (SOLIDWORKS Simulation; ANSYS Workbench etc.). Commercial finite element code ABAQUS has procedures for “Node-based submodeling” and ”Surface-based submodeling” [19]. Nodal-driven results of displacements, rotations, temperature, pore pressure and acoustic pressure are interpolated from the global model onto the cut boundary nodes of the sub-model in “Node-based submodeling”. "Surface-based submodeling" procedure applies surface tractions to sub-model surfaces based on a stress field interpolated from the global model. ANSYS sub-modeling procedures map degree-of-freedom results (displacements) and body force loads (mainly temperatures for a structural analysis) from the coarse model to the cut boundaries of the sub-model [20]. Sub-modeling procedure in SOLIDWORKS is highly automated. The disadvantage is that the part of the global model, intended to be analyzed as a sub-model, should be included in a global model as a separate component (part or body). This part should have bonded contact and incompatible mesh with the rest of the global model. However, it is still displacement based sub-modeling approach [21].
Procedure of the force based cut boundary sub-modeling was developed [1] for the ANSYS Mechanical APDL software employing FSUM command for nodal force extraction and CEINTF command to generate constraint equations at the cut boundary of the sub-model and to transfer the force action to the sub-model. The article demonstrates how displacement and force based sub-modeling techniques work in stress concentration zones of 2D solids. The influence of the mesh of the global model is investigated. Advantage of force based sub-model is discussed.

2. Application of displacement and force based sub-modeling techniques

The typical example of the sub-modeling application is a stress concentration problem in a plate with a hole under distributed tension load $p$ (Fig. 1). For comparison of the displacement and force based sub-modeling techniques, the square plate model was constructed. The 2D geometry and load parameters were as follows: $H = 300$ mm; $2a = 30$ mm and $p = 100$ MPa (Fig. 1). Due to symmetry, a quarter of the geometry was employed for global finite element model with the proper boundary conditions. The sub-model includes a segment of the plate with outer radius of 45 mm and angle 45°, as presented in Fig. 1, b.

![Image of geometry](image)

Fig. 1 Geometry of the plate with a hole (a) and a sub-model (b)

Analytical solution of the maximal elastic circumferential stresses in 2D polar coordinate system $\sigma_{\text{th max}}$ for the case depicted in Fig. 1 is known. It can be calculated employing expression of elastic stress concentration factor $K_m$ presented in Peterson’s [22] (1), or Rork’s [23] (2) handbooks, where the first handbook uses works of Howland R. C. J., and the second is based on publications of Flynn P. D. and Heywood R. B.:

$$K_m = 3 - 3.13 \left( \frac{2a}{H} \right) + 3.66 \left( \frac{2a}{H} \right)^2 - 1.53 \left( \frac{2a}{H} \right)^3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\sigma_{\text{th max}} = K_m \cdot \sigma_{\text{th}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\sigma_{\text{th}} = p \cdot H \left( H - 2a \right)$. Then, $\sigma_{\text{th max}} = 303.5$ MPa, if Eq. (1) is used and $\sigma_{\text{th max}} = 302.5$ MPa if Eq. (2) employed.

The solution of highly refined plane stress global model gives results of $\sigma_{\text{th max}} = 308.4$ MPa. The coarse global models where the sub-modeled part (Fig. 2, d) had 3x3, 4x4 and 5x5 PLANE182 ANSYS finite element meshes (Fig. 2a – c) gave results of maximum stress 273, 289 and 298 MPa (Fig. 3, a – c). The sub-models with displacement based cut boundary conditions of these global models resulted in $\sigma_{\text{th max}}$ : 306; 309 and 311 MPa respectively (Fig. 4, a – c). The relative difference comparing to fine global model solution is less than 1% and the difference comparing to solution according Eqs. (1) and (2) is less than 3%.

The action of forces was transferred to the sub-model by constraint equations connecting selected nodes of the global model and elements of the sub-model by specially written subroutine and CEINTF command. This have produced local peaks of stresses at the boundary (Fig. 5, a). However, excluding the elements with local peaks (selecting the region away from these elements as in Fig. 5, b) gives a proper character of the stress distribution in the sub-model (Fig. 6). The maximal circumferential stresses ($\sigma_{\text{th max}}$) for the same cases as in displacement based sub-models are: 346.5, 330 and 321 MPa (Fig. 6, a – c).

This allows to conclude that the mesh density of the global model is more important for the force based sub-model than for the displacement base sub-model since only the 5x5 mesh of selected region of the global model gives an acceptable results with the relative error less than 5%.
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Fig. 2 Three cases of the tested mesh of general model (a, b and c) and the sub-model (d)
The plate with the hole modelling results demonstrated that the displacement based sub-modelling has higher accuracy and is less sensitive to the mesh density of the global model. Therefore it should be used in most cases. However, force based sub-modelling has a privilege to maintain cases where stiffness of sub-model changes significantly e.g. changing the local geometry. Further it will be discussed by stress analysis of the component (Fig. 7, a) where the sub-model of the component (Fig. 7, b) has a different geometry comparing to the initial shape of the same region of the global model. Changing that geometry – a fillet radius from 10 to 80 mm, the stiffness of the sub-model is changed. Three finite element models were used to illustrate stress difference in displacement and force based sub-models: the coarse meshed global model, sub-model with varying step fillet radius, and refined global model for reference for the "exact" stress results (Fig. 8, a–d).

Fig. 9 shows equivalent (von Mises) stress results in displacement based sub-model (a), force based sub-model (b) and force based sub-model without boundary elements with local stress peaks (c), when fillet radius is 30 mm and load 100 MPa. Fig. 10 presents equivalent stress results in refined global model for comparison. The maximal equivalent stresses of displacement and force bases sub-models were normalized to the maximal reference stress. The results are presented in Fig. 11. These results demonstrate increasing deviation from the exact solution represented by normalized value 1 of stresses of the displacement based sub-model as the fillet radius $R$ is increasing.
Fig. 7 Component geometry for global model (a) and a sub-model (b)

Fig. 8 Finite element meshes and loads of global model (a) displacement and force based sub-models (b and c), and refined global model for comparison (d)

Fig. 9 Equivalent (von Mises) stress results in displacement based sub-model (a), force based sub-model (b) and force based sub-model without boundary elements with local stress peaks (c); fillet radius 30 mm

Fig. 10 Equivalent (von Mises) stress results in refined model for comparison

Fig. 11 Normalized maximal equivalent stress results in displacement and force based sub-models under varying fillet radius
3. Nested sub-modeling of surge tank weld toe

The nested sub-modeling technique is called when
the sub-model for the region of interest is applied more than
once. For the case of interest, the global model of surge tank
has a complicated structure (Fig. 12) and a finite element
stress analysis of the entire tank cannot be done with the
needed accuracy. Application of the sub-modeling tech-
nique is an appropriate solution used in similar cases [13].
However, the definition of the sub-model geometry often is
not clear and the initial assumption could be not the best for
the sub-modeling. Fortunately, the nested sub-modeling
technique can be applied, where the initially selected sub-
model can be further refined. Three sub-models of the man-
hole nozzle of the surge tank are shown in Fig 13 (the nozzle
is marked by a square of dashed lines in the Fig. 12). The
sub-model 2 and sub-model 3 are refinements (nested sub-
models) of the initial sub-model 1.

Fig. 12 Surge tank finite element model with the equivalent
stress results and region of sub-modeling

Fig. 13 Nested sub-models: a – sub-model 1 (an area of
interest which is cut from global model), b – sub-
model 2 (sub-model of the sub-model 1) c – sub-
model 3 (sub-model of the sub-model 2)

The maximum equivalent stress calculated using
the final sub-model 3 is 283 MPa at the weld toe (Fig. 14).
It is in 1.7 times large that the maximum stress in the global
model. This technique allowed to calculate the maximum
stress at the concentration zone, without a large number of
finite elements and computational efforts using 47893 hex-
rahedral shape solid elements dominant mesh with 3 mm av-
erage element size, comparing to 419797 solid elements
of the global model with 14 mm average element size in the
part of interest.

Fig. 14 Equivalent stresses at the refined sub-model 3

4. Conclusions

The displacement based sub-modelling results are
less sensitive to the mesh density of the global model, com-
paring with the force based sub-modelling. However, force
based sub-models can be used and give satisfactory results
under moderate global model mesh density.

The displacement based sub-modelling cannot be
applied without pre-examination in case if geometry of sub-
modeled region is changed and the stiffness of the sub-
model is changed consequently. Force based sub-modelling
should be preferred in that case.

The nested sub-modelling technique can be applied
with the several refinements of the initial sub-model, when
initially it is difficult so select the sub-model size and geo-
metry from the global model.
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Summary

Sub-modeling techniques implemented in commercial finite element codes were reviewed. New sub-modeling applications in structural mechanics stress analysis are presented. Sub-modeling methods based on displacement and force cut boundary application are compared. Advantages and disadvantages of these methods are discussed. The case of nested sub-models is demonstrated.
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